

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,237	03/18/2004	Beat Heer	A01503	5627	
7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER			
Kenneth Crimaldi			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
Rohm and Haas Company 100 Independence Mall West			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Philadelphia, PA 19106			1616		
		DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 10/803,237 HEER ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner Art Unit

10/803,237	HEER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alton N. Pryor	1616	

	Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ci	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	•				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In it, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further could be a they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);				
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of linally rep	ecteu ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	` , *			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3-5 and 9.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-8 and 10-12</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:		o(s).	~ -			
	15 V	1. 20.				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Claims have been amended to associate DTBMA with a ratio of 750:1. However, the specification does not support this association and the examples in the specification also do not embrace DTBMA being associated with he ratio of 750:1.